

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)
(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
17 August 2006 (17.08.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
414/04388

International application No.
PCT/IL2005/000136

International filing date (day/month/year)
04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)
05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)

Applicant
MOTORIKA INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

4388
RECEIVED
Docketed By: *IK*
31 AUG 2006
To: MF, CC, CS, H, AS
FENSTER & CO.

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: p109@wipo.int

Authorized officer
Simin Bahadou

PCT

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/04388		FOR FURTHER ACTION See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IL2005/000136		International filing date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant MOTORIKA INC.			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).	

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		Authorized officer Simin Baharlou e-mail: p109@wipo.int
Date of issuance of this report 07 August 2006 (07.08.2006)		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 15 MAY 2006	PCT
INFO	PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:	PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002
-----	---

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	12 MAY 2006
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	

Applicant's or agent's file reference	414/04388
International application No.	PCT/IL05/00136
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC	IPC: A63B 26/00 (2006.01), 23/00 (2006.01) USPC: 482/142, 148
Applicant	REABILITY INC.

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 25 April 2006 (25.04.2006)	Authorized officer Gregory Huson Telephone No. (571) 272-1201
---	--	---

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- a. type of material
- ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
- b. format of material
- ☐ on paper
 - ☐ in electronic form
- c. time of filing/furnishing
- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

- ☒

1.

☐ paid additional fees

☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee

☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid

☒ not paid additional fees

☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.

☐ This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with

☒ not complied with for the following reasons:

See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)

☐ all parts.

☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-44

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement	
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-44 YES Claims NONE NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-44 YES Claims NONE NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-44 YES Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:
Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instant invention as claimed.
Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.